

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

In Re: Vitamins Antitrust Litigation)
_____)

Misc. No. 99-0917 (TFH); MDL 1285

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES ONLY TO:

CARGILL, INCORPORATED, et al.)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.)
)
F. HOFFMANN-LaROCHE LTD., et al.)
)
Defendants.)
)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS)
CIVIL ACTION No. 99 C 5167)
_____)

FILED

MAR 15 2001

NANCY MAYER WHITTINGTON, CLERK
U.S. DISTRICT COURT


**STIPULATION CONCERNING DEFENDANT ROLAND BRONNIMANN'S
RESPONSE TO CARGILL PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned, that the motion to dismiss filed by defendant Roland Bronnimann on January 12, 2000 in response to the First and Second Amended Complaints in Cargill, Incorporated, et al. v. F. Hoffman-LaRoche Ltd., et al. shall be deemed filed and responsive to the Third Amended Complaint that was filed by the Cargill plaintiffs on or about January 15, 2001 ("Third Amended Complaint").

All defenses, including specifically the defenses of lack of jurisdiction and insufficiency of service of process are preserved.

Dated: March 13, 2001

Respectfully submitted,



John F. Kinney
Freeman, Freeman & Salzman, P.C.
401 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 3200
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Counsel for the Cargill Plaintiffs


Burt M. Garson
Hafetz & Necheles
500 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10110

Counsel for Roland Bronnimann

SO ORDERED:


THOMAS F. HOGAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATE: March 15, 2001